

A Contemporary Assessment of Lipid Lowering Therapies and Low-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol in Peripheral Artery Disease

Connie N. Hess, MD, MHS,^{1,2} Christopher P. Cannon, MD,³ Joshua A. Beckman, MD,⁴ Villiam R. Hiatt, MD,^{1,2} Marc P. Bonaca, MD, MPH,⁷ Katherine E. Mues, PhD, MPH,⁷ William R. Hiatt, MD,^{1,2} Marc P. Bonaca, MD, MPH,^{1,2} ¹University of Colorado School of Medical Center; ⁵Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center; ⁶Duke University Medical Center; ⁷Amgen, Inc.

BACKGROUND

- Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) is associated with heightened risk of major adverse cardiovascular events and major adverse limb events (MALE) in peripheral artery disease (PAD)
- Lipid lowering therapies (LLT) which reduce LDL-C levels lower this risk

METHODS

- PAD patients in MarketScan linked to Prognos LDL-C data from 2014-2018 were identified; the index date was the date of PAD diagnosis
- Outcomes included use of LLT, follow-up LDL-C level, composite myocardial infarction or ischemic stroke, and MALE (major amputation [at or above ankle] or acute limb ischemia)
- Clinical outcomes were identified using ICD-9, ICD-10, and CPT codes
- LLT was defined as high intensity (HI; high intensity statin, any statin + ezetimibe, or any use of a PCSK9 inhibitor), low intensity (LI; any other lipid regimen), or no treatment
- Goal LDL-C was defined as <70 mg/dl
- Multivariable logistic regression was used to identify factors associated with achieving LDL-C goal

RESULTS

- 250,103 patients with PAD were included in the analysis population
- Median follow-up was 15 months (interguartile range 7, 25 months)

Table 1. Overall PAD Population Baseline Characteristics

Characteristic	Overall Population (n=250,103)
Age (median, IQR), years	74 (63, 84)
Female sex (%)	49
Prior myocardial infarction (%)	21
Diabetes (%)	39
Prior stroke/transient ischemic attack (%)	25
Heart failure (%)	36
Chronic renal insufficiency (%)	35
Current/former smoker (%)	22
PAD history* (%) Prior peripheral revascularization Prior CLI Prior ALI No prior revascularization, CLI, or ALI	19 37 11 52
Lipid lowering therapy (%) High intensity Low intensity No treatment High intensity statin Low/moderate intensity statin No statin Ezetimibe PCSK9 inhibitor	21 40 39 19 41 40 4 0.1

ALI, acute limb ischemia; CLI, critical limb ischemia; IQR, interquartile range, PCSK9, proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 *Categories not all mutually exclusive



Figure 2. Distribution of LDL-C Among Patients with **Baseline and Follow-Up LDL-C Levels**



Figure 3. Use of High Intensity LLT after Ischemic Even



Use of High Intensity Lipid Lowering Strategy After Ischemic Ev

CLI, critical limb ischemia; IS, ischemic stroke; MALE, major adverse limb event; MI, myocardial infarc

Figure 4. Factors Associated with Achieving Follow-u LDL-C <70 mg/dl



ALI, acute limb ischemia; CLI, critical limb ischemia; IS, ischemic stroke; LER, lower extremity revascularization; MALE, major adverse limb event; MI, myocardial infarction; TIA, transient ischemic attack

Adjusted for age, sex, prior MI, prior IS/TIA, hypertension, heart failure, atrial fibrillation, chronic kidney disease, prior ALI, prior CLI, prior LER, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, diabetes, obesity, smoking, and baseline LDL-C



University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus

nts	LIMITATIONS	
vents	 The data are administrative and may be subject to errors in coding and potential misclassification Laboratory data were available for a subset of patients; care of these patients may not be representative of lipid management in the overall population 	
55.0	CONCLUSIONS	
UP	 In this large analysis of a nationwide PAD population, use of LLT was overall low, and LDL-C remained elevated throughout the study Approximately 40% of patients were not on any LLT at baseline and follow-up LLT is intensified after ischemic events, more so after myocardial infarction and stroke than after limb events, demonstrating less aggressive treatment of PAD than coronary or cerebrovascular disease Correlates of achieving goal LDL-C include risk factors for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, prior LER, and prior MI but not prior ALI or CLI 	
-	IMPLICATIONS	
P-value 0.69 0.33 0.02 <.01 <.01 0.42 0.66	 These findings highlight the undertreatment of hyperlipidemia in patients with PAD in contemporary practice Efforts to improve lipid management in PAD should not only focus on improving use of LLT, especially after ischemic events, but also on developing programs to ensure achievement of goal LDL-C 	
<.01	DISCLOSURES	
0.60 0.03 0.01	Funding for this analysis was provided by a research grant from Amgen, Inc. CNH reports research funding to CPC Clinical Research from Merck, Bayer, Janssen, and Amgen; CPC reports research grants from Amgen, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Daiichi Sankyo, Janssen, Merck, Pfizer and consulting fees from Aegerion, Alnylam, Amarin, Amgen, Applied Therapeutics, Ascendia, BI, BMS, Corvidia, Eli Lilly, HLS Therapeutics, Innovent, Janssen, Kowa, Merck, Pfizer, Rhoshan, Sanofi; JAB reports consulting for Astra Zeneca, Amgen, Bayer, Glaxo Smith Kline, Janssen, Sanofi, DSMB service for Novartis, and ownership in EMX and Janacare; PPG reports no disclosures; MRP reports research grants/advisory board for Bayer, Janssen, Amgen, Heartflow and research grants only from Novartis, NHLBI; ES, KKO, and KEM report employment at Amgen, Inc.; WRH reports grant support to CPC Clinical Research from NIH, Bayer, Janssen, Amgen, and Pluristem; MPB reports research grants to CPC Clinical Research from Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bayer, NovoNordisk, Regeneron, Sanofi.	